



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,511	12/12/2003	Wayne H. Rothschild	47079-00237USPT	5842
70243	7590	03/25/2008		
NIXON PEABODY LLP			EXAMINER	
161 N CLARK ST.			D'AGOSTINO, PAUL ANTHONY	
48TH FLOOR				
CHICAGO, IL 60601-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/735,511

Applicant(s)

ROTHSCHILD ET AL.

Examiner

Paul A. D'Agostino

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul A. D'Agostino.(3) Ela Baio.(2) John M Hotaling, II.(4) Jeremie Moll.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 7,008,324 to Johnson et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement could not be reached that the proposed amendments overcome the prior art (Johnson) whereby Applicant asserts that Johnson anticipates while in operating in an attract mode but not while a game is in progress. Examiner respectfully disagrees referencing Johnson (Col. 3 Lines 42-50 and Col. 6 Lines 57-59 wherein the invention is not restricted to any one mode.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John M Hotaling II/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.